Nehamas, A. 2002. "Parmenidean Being/Heraclitean Fire." In *Presocratic Philosophy: Essays in Honour of Alexander Mourelatos*, edited by V. Caston and D. W. Graham, pp. 45–64. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Nussbaum, M. C. 1972. "Psyche in Heraclitus." Phronesis 17: pp. 1–16; 153–70. Osborne, C. "Heraclitus," in Taylor, pp. 88–127.

Robinson, T. M. 1987. Heraclitus. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Vlastos, G. 1955. "On Heraclitus." American Journal of Philology 76: pp. 337–78; reprinted in Vlastos, Studies in Greek Philosophy, Vol. I: The Presocratics, edited by D. W. Graham, pp. 127–50.

## 6. PARMENIDES OF ELEA

The most reliable reports on the life of Parmenides of Elea (an Italian town today called Velia near what is now Naples) imply that he was born around 515 BCE. Diogenes Laertius says that he was a pupil of Xenophanes, "but did not follow him" (i.e., he did not adopt Xenophanes' views). Diogenes Laertius also says that Parmenides was, at some time in his life associated with the Pythagoreans. There is no way of knowing whether or not these reports are true, but it seems clear that Parmenides is concerned with answering questions about knowledge that are generated by Xenophanes' answering questions about knowledge that are generated by Xenophanes' his greatest god [see Chapter 4 fragment 13] influenced Parmenides' account of what-is.) It would not be surprising that Parmenides should know about Pythagoreanism, as Elea is in the southern part of Italy, which was home to

Odyssey. In the poem Parmenides presents a young man (kouros, in Greek), among scholars about the details of his views. The poem begins with a long she gives. Parmenides is one of the most important and most controversial learn "all things"; moreover, while the goddess says that what the kouros is who is taken in a chariot to meet a goddess. He is told by her that he will hexameters, and there are many Homeric images, especially from the figures among the early Greek thinkers, and there is much disagreement told is true, she stresses that he himself must test and assess the arguments called Truth (B2–B8.50). This is followed by the so-called Doxa section introduction (The Proem, B1); this is followed by a section traditionally understanding (the capacity to reason) what follows from the basic claim lliese, the goddess says, "there is no true trust." Rather, one must judge by he calls the "beliefs of mortals," based entirely on sense-experience; in is literally unsayable and unthinkable. Parmenides warns against what knowledge can only be about what genuinely is (what-is), for what-is-not way deceptive. In Truth, Parmenides argues that genuine thought and ("beliefs" or "opinions")—a cosmology that, the goddess warns, is in some lhat what-is must be, and what-is-not cannot be. The poem proceeds (in the Like Xenophanes, Parmenides wrote in verse: His poem is in Homeric

grasped by thought and genuinely known. away, nor undergo any qualitative change. Only what is in this way can be be whole, complete, unchanging, and one. It can neither come to be nor pass crucial fragment B8) to explore the features of genuine being: What-is must

own. Is this meant to be a parody of other views? Is it the best that can be goddess then goes on, in the Doxa, to give a cosmological account of her and change were a serious theoretical challenge, not only to later Presocratic arguments are powerful, and Parmenides' views about knowledge, being, themselves, and to provide comprehensive arguments for his claims. These see the importance of metatheoretical questions about philosophical theories in metaphysical and epistemological questions, Parmenides is the first to grounds? There is little agreement among Parmenides' readers on this to test whether any cosmology could ever be acceptable on Parmenidean said for the world that appears to human senses? Is it a lesson for the hearer, scholars, one particularly intriguing aspect of Parmenides' thought is that presuppose the reality of what-is-not, and so cannot succeed. For modern having apparently rejected the world of sensory experience as unreal, the the reality of opposites and their unity; Parmenides argues that all these fundamental changes in their theoretically basic entities, or relied on Parmenides' predecessors cannot be acceptable. The earlier views required thinkers, but also to Plato and Aristotle. While Parmenides clearly shares with Xenophanes and Heraclitus interests Given these arguments, the accounts of the way things are given by

(28B1) The mares which carry me as far as my spirit ever

of the goddess, which brings a knowing mortal to all cities were escorting me, when they brought me and proceeded along the renowned route

On this route I was being brought, on it wise mares were one by one. bringing me,

straining the chariot, and maidens were guiding the way The axle in the center of the wheel was shrilling forth the

wheels at either end, as the daughters of the Sun ablaze, for it was being driven forward by two rounded bright sound of a musical pipe,

were hastening to escort <me> after leaving the house of

for the light, having pushed back the veils from their heads with their hands

10

a gaping gap of the doors when they opened them swinging in turn in their sockets the bronze posts quickly from the gates. They made and skillfully persuaded her to push back the bar for them of which avenging Justice holds the keys that fit them and a lintel and a stone threshold contain them fastened with bolts and rivets. There, straight through them The maidens beguiled her with soft words High in the sky they are filled by huge doors There are the gates of the roads of Night and Day 20 15

welcome—since it was not an evil destiny that sent you who reach my house by the horses which bring you, right hand in hers, and addressed me with these words: And the goddess received me kindly, took my the maidens held the chariot and horses on the broad road Young man, accompanied by immortal charioteers, forth to travel

25

this route (for indeed it is far from the beaten path of

and the beliefs of mortals, in which there is no true trust. both the unshaken heart of well-persuasive-Truth but Right and Justice. It is right that you learn all things-But nevertheless you will learn these too-how it were 30

be reliably, being indeed, the whole of things right that the things that seem

(lines 1-30: Sextus Empiricus, Against the Mathematicians 7.111-14; lines 28-32: Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's On the Heavens, 557.25-558.2; tmpc)

(B2) But come now, I will tell you—and you, when you have which are the only routes of inquiry that are for thinking: heard the story, bring it safely away—

munded Truth." The manuscript text of this word varies; another reading is translated "well-

this indeed I declare to you to be a path entirely unable to the other, that it is not and that it is right that it not be, is the path of Persuasion (for it attends upon Truth), the one, that is and that it is not possible for it not to be be investigated:

G

For neither can you know what is not (for it is not to be accomplished)

nor can you declare it.

(Proclus, Commentary on Plato's Timaeus 1.345.18; lines 3-8 Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics 116.28; tmpc)

3. (B3)... for the same thing is for thinking and for being.<sup>2</sup> (Clement, Miscellanies 6.23; Plotinus, Enneads 5.1.8)

(B4) But gaze upon things which although absent are securely neither being scattered everywhere in every way in order For you will not cut off what-is from clinging to what-is, Continue nor being brought together. present to the mind.

(Clement, Miscellanies 5.15)

ا ا (B5) . . . For me, it is indifferent from where I am to begin: for that is where I will arrive back

(Proclus, Commentary on Plato's Parmenides 1.708

6 (B6) It is right both to say and to think that it is what-is: for and then from that, on which mortals, knowing nothing For I < 3 > you from this first route of inquiry, but nothing is not: these things I bid you to ponder wander, two-headed: for helplessness in their it can be,

thought of and can be"; "for thinking and being are the same." 2. Translator's note: Alternative translations: "for the same thing both can be

eirgo, so the line would be translated "I hold you back." (This would imply th 3. There is a lacuna (gap) in all the manuscripts at this point. Diels suppli will begin." (This implies two routes.) the verb archein, "to begin," so the goddess says either "I begin for you," or "Yo there are three routes.) Two recent suggestions from scholars supply forms

> and not the same, and the path of all is backward-turning for whom to be and not to be are thought to be the same deaf and blind alike, dazed, hordes without judgment breasts steers their wandering mind. They are borne along

(Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics 86.27-28; 117.4-13; tmpc)

.7 (B7) For in no way may this prevail, that things that are not are;

and do not let habit, rich in experience, compel you along but you, hold your thought back from this route of inquiry this route

and tongue, but judge by reasoning (logos) the much to direct an aimless eye and an echoing ear

examination spoken by me.

Empiricus, Against the Mathematicians 7.114; tmpc) (lines 1-2: Plato, Sophist 242a; lines 2-6: Sextus

(B8) ... Just one story of a route is still left: that it is. On this [route] there are signs very many, that what is ungenerated and imperishable, a whole of a single kind, unshaken, and complete.

Nor was it ever, nor will it be, since it is now, all together one, holding together: For what birth will you seek out for it? How and from what did it grow? From what-is-not I will

S

you neither to say nor to think: For it is not to be said or thought

Nor will the force of true conviction ever permit anything In this way it is right either fully to be or not that it is not. What need would have roused it later or earlier, having begun from nothing, to grow?

healde it from what-is-not. For this reason neither coming

to come to be

nor perishing did Justice allow, loosening her shackles, but she [Justice] holds it fast. And the decision about these things is in this:

15

hor is not; and it has been decided, as is necessary,

10

to leave the one [route] unthought of and unnamed (for it is not a true route), so that the other [route] is and is genuine.

But how can what-is be hereafter? How can it come to be? For if it came to be, it is not, not even if it is sometime going

Thus coming-to-be has been extinguished and perishing cannot be investigated.

Nor is it divisible, since it is all alike,

and not at all more in any way, which would keep it from holding together,

or at all less, but it is all full of what-is.

Therefore it is all holding together; for what-is draws near to what-is.

25

But unchanging in the limits of great bonds it is without starting or ceasing, since coming-to-be and perishing have wandered very far away, and true trust drove them have wandered very far away, and true trust drove them

have wandered very far away; and true trust drove them away.

Remaining the same and in the same and by itself it lies and so remains there fixed; for mighty Necessity holds it in bonds of a limit which holds it in on all sides. For this reason it is right for what is to be not incomplete; for it is not lacking; otherwise, what is would be in want of everything.

What is for thinking is the same as that on account of which there is thought.

For not without what is, on which it depends, having been solemnly pronounced,

35

will you find thinking; for nothing else either is or will be except what-is, since precisely this is what Fate shackled to be whole and changeless. Therefore it has been named all things

that mortals, persuaded that they are true, have posited both to come to be and to perish, to be and not, and to change place and alter bright color.

But since the limit is ultimate, it [namely, what-is] is

40

complete from all directions like the bulk of a ball well-rounded from all sides

equally matched in every way from the middle; for it is right for it to be not in any way greater or lesser than in another.

45

For neither is there what-is-not—which would stop it from reaching

the same—nor is there any way in which what-is would be more than what-is in one way and in another way less, since it is all inviolable;

for equal to itself from all directions, it meets uniformly

20

with its limits.

At this point, I end for you my reliable account and thought about truth. From here on, learn mortal opinions, listening to the deceifful order of my words.

For they established two forms to name in their judgments, 4 of which it is not right to name one—in this they have gone

astray—
and they distinguished things opposite in body, and
established signs
apart from one another—for one, the aetherial fire of flame,
mild, very light, the same as itself in every direction,
but not the same as the other; but that other one, in itself
is opposite—dark night, a dense and heavy body.
I declare to you all the ordering as it appears,
so that no mortal judgment may ever overtake you.

(Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics 145.1–
146.25 [lines 1–52]; 39.1–9 [lines 50–61]; tmpc)

30

9. (B9) But since all things have been named light and night and the things which accord with their powers have been assigned to these things and those, all is full of light and obscure night together, of both equally, since neither has any share of nothing. (Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics 180.9–12)

 (B10) You shall know the nature of the Aither and all the signs in the Aither

4. Translator's note: Other manuscripts give a different form of the word rendered "judgment" that requires another translation: "established judgments" (i.e., decided).

and you shall learn the wandering deeds of the round-faced torch and whence they came to be, and the destructive deeds of the shining sun's pure

and its nature, and you shall know also the surrounding

G

to hold the limits of the stars. from what it grew and how Necessity led and shackled it

(Clement, Miscellanies 5.14; 138.1)

11. (B11) ... how earth and sun and moon and the Aither that is common to all and the Milky Way and to come to be. furthest Olympus and the hot force of the stars surged forth

(Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's On the Heavens 559.22-25)

12. (B12) For the narrower <wreaths> were filled with unmixed

and in the middle of these is the goddess who governs all the ones next to them with night, but a due amount of fire is inserted among if

male to female. sending the female to unite with male and in opposite For she rules over hateful birth and union of all things

G

(Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics 39.14-16 [lines 1-3], 31.13-17 [lines 2-6])

13. (B13) First of all gods she contrived Love. (Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics 39.18)

14. (B14) Night-shining foreign light wandering around earth (Plutarch, Against Colotes 1116A)

15. (B15) Always looking toward the rays of the sun. (Plutarch, On the Face in the Moon 929A)

16. (B16) As on each occasion there is a mixture of the muchwandering limbs

> so is mind present to humans; for the same thing both in all and in each; for the more is thought. is what the nature of the limbs thinks in men, (Theophrastus, On the Senses 3; tpc)

17. (B17) [That the male is conceived in the right part of the uterus <The goddess brought> boys <into being> on the right <side of</p> the uterus>, girls on the left. has been said by others of the ancients. For Parmenides says: (Galen, Commentary on Book VI of Hippocrates' Epidemics II 46)

18. (B18) As soon as woman and man mingle the seeds of love with double seed they will dreadfully disturb the nascent sex and do not create a single <power> in the body resulting from For if when the seed is mingled the powers clash from their two differing bloods, if it maintains a balance <that come from> their veins, a formative power fashions well-constructed bodies the mixture, <of the child>. (Caelius Aurelianus, On Chronic Diseases VI.9)

19. (B19) In this way, according to opinion (doxa), these things have and afterwards after growing up will come to an end And upon them humans have established a name to mark each grown and now are (Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's On the Heavens 558.9-11)

## Suggestions for Further Reading

Guthrie; McKirahan; and Kirk, Raven, and Schofield the Introduction, pp. 10–12. See also the relevant chapters in Barnes: cal information for collections may be found in the bibliography in All of these entries have further bibliographies. Complete bibliographi-

Barnes, J. 1979. "Parmenides and the Eleatic One." Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie 61: pp. 1-21.

Cordero, N.-L. 2004. By Being, It Is: The Thesis of Parmenides. Las Vegas: Parmenides Publishing